

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Defendant.

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

Direct Infringement

1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly
infringes claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
--	---------------------	--------------------

CLAIM 11: _____

CLAIM 27: _____

CLAIM 29: _____

CLAIM 39: _____

CLAIM 40: _____

CLAIM 41: _____

1 2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly
2 infringes claims 1 and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

4 Yes (Infringing) No (Not Proven)

5 **CLAIM 1:** _____

6 **CLAIM 20:** _____

10 || Indirect Infringement

11 3. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or
12 contributed to any infringement of claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent
13 Number RE38,104?

16 CLAIM 11:

17 || **CLAIM 27:**

18 **CLAIM 29:** _____

19 || **CLAIM 39:** _____

21 || **CLAIM 41:** _____

1 4. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or
2 contributed to any infringement of claims 1 and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

Yes
(Infringing) No
(Not Proven)

CLAIM 1: _____

CLAIM 20: _____

10 || Dated: May ___, 2012.

FOREPERSON